



working for the meat industry of the northwest

Northwest Meat Processors Association

DEC 23 2004 7:49 AM
STP 127112 ADJ.

December 13, 2005

Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061
Rockville, MD 20852

RE: Docket No. 2002N-0273

Substances Prohibited From Use in Animal Food or Feed

The Northwest Meat Processors Association would like to submit the following comments on the amendments to the Agency's regulation, "Substances Prohibited From Use in Animal Food or Feed."

The Association is a regional group with members involved in meat and poultry processing, slaughterers, caterers, food service companies, wholesalers, retailers, and suppliers.

We have concerns over the amendments to the "Substances Prohibited From Use in Animal Food or Feed" regulations because of the impact the changes will have on our membership. Since our membership consists of mainly small and very small businesses, these proposed changes will severely affect them. Increased costs passed on from the rendering industry, as well as elimination of certain by-product materials from pick-up will have the most economic impact on small and very small processors.

The disposal of the Specified Risk Materials (SRMs) will be the biggest challenge of the proposed amendments. Currently, most meat slaughterers and processors rely on renderers to dispose of the inedible by-products of meat production. The rendering industry collects and processes over 47 billion pounds of by-products each year from these industries and transforms the inedible products into useful and valuable feed and industrial materials. Rendering adds millions of dollars in value to livestock production through the manufacture of protein materials while removing the need to dispose of meat industry by-products in landfills or by other methods that may impact public health and the environment.

Additional regulation of livestock feed ingredients through the proposed amendments will reduce the demand for rendered material, which will increase by-product disposal costs. Higher livestock production costs, lower value for slaughtered animals, reduced profitability for renderers, increased costs for processors, and more expensive meat products for consumers are all very likely to occur. A reduction in the value of by-products directly reduces the amount packers are able to pay for livestock, creating a vicious cycle of events

OFFICERS

President

Jerry Haun
Haun's Meat & Sausage
Rt. 1 Box 240A
Walla Walla WA 99362
(509) 525-6605

Vice President

Steve Quanz
Hempler's
1401 F St
Bellingham, WA 98225
360-734-5330

Secretary-Treasurer

Dayle Robnett
Diamond S Meat Co
7400 Kings Way
Klamath Falls, OR 97603
541-884-8767

Past President

Brian Dolby
Gene's Meat Market
P O Box 66
Mehama OR 97384
503-859-2252

DIRECTORS

Doug Chiechi
Doug's Quality Meats
253-852-9744

Pat Cairus
Del Fox Custom Meats
360-629-3723

Jim Hanson
Carlton Farms
503-852-7166

Gary Mallett
Eastern OR Mobile Slaughter
541-567-2011

David Mayo
Oversea Casing Co
206-682-6845

Steven McLaren
Market Supply
503-239-4990

Ben Moore
Redmond Lockers
541-548-5575

EX-OFFICIO

Jan Bushnom
Washington State University
509-335-2880

Bob Dickson
Oregon State University
541-737-3414

Ron Richard
University of Idaho
208-885-6727

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Dennis Hays
email: haysmgmt@pipeline.com

more

02N-0273

C477


2. Comments on Docket No. 2002N-0273

If rendering becomes too expensive for meat slaughterers and processors to use, they are left with few alternatives. Landfills will not be able to handle the increased amount of material, and there are many costs associated with using landfills for disposal. Some landfills do not accept these types of materials and hauling such materials may require special vehicles or permits. Composting or burying of by-products takes a considerable amount of land and time, as well as additional labor. It is only a viable option for those establishments in rural areas with available land and the equipment to properly compost or bury by-product material. Burying creates the largest risks for human health and the environment because of the potential for ground and surface water pollution if proper techniques are not followed. Incineration requires a significant capital expense and constant fuel supply, as well as permits to operate legally. It is probably not economically feasible for a small processor to purchase an incinerator and have enough volume to make it run efficiently. Also, instead of creating a return for by-products by sending them to be rendered, incineration, landfilling, and burying offer no value for those products. There are definite environmental concerns with all of these options, as well as possible risks to public health. The meat industry is often the focus of public scrutiny and must be very conscious of the view of consumers, especially where both human health and the environment are concerned.

Another concern the Association has is for establishments that are considered custom-exempt. The proposed rules indicate that "the entire carcass of cattle not inspected and passed for human consumption if the brain and spinal cord have not been removed" would be banned from livestock feed. This means that custom-exempt facilities would need to remove the brain and spinal cord from the remaining offal prior to it being acceptable for rendering, otherwise none of the animal would be allowed to be used. Again, this increases production and labor costs for small processors.

We appreciate the chance to comment on the proposed amendments to the "Substances Prohibited From Use in Animal Food or Feed." We hope that the FDA will take our concerns to heart and evaluate the proposed rules accordingly. The associated costs and economic impact for small and very small meat processors will be great and environmental concerns with the proposed amendments are large. Until more cost effective alternatives to rendering by-products from slaughter are available, these amendments will cause undue harm to processors. The United States Department of Agriculture's BSE testing program should clearly indicate that BSE is not a problem in this country and prove that the current safeguards are not in need of modification.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Dennis Hays", written in a cursive style.

Dennis Hays
Executive Director

cc: Jerry Haun, President